

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/736,091	NAITO, HARUSUKE
	Examiner David A. Reifsnyder	Art Unit 1723

All Participants:

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(1) David A. Reifsnyder.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Daniel M. Reiss.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 29 November 2006

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

Type of Interview:

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

#### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

#### Part II.

##### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*The applicant's representative stated that no response was filed in response to the office action mailed on May 23, 2006, because the case was meant to be abandoned of a Continuation-In-Part. See the attached Notice of Abandonment*

#### Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)